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#### Abstract

Three stilbene tetramers，carasinols $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 3})$ ，along with three known substances，leachianol C，cararosinol A and stenophyllol B，were isolated from the roots of Caragana sinica．Their structures were elucidated by spec－ troscopy．It was found that compounds isolated except for stenophyllol B stimulated the proliferation of cultured osteoblasts．


## Introduction

Caragana sinica（Buc＇hoz）Rehd．（Fabaceae）is wildly distributed in China．Its root is used as a Chinese traditional herb medicine for the treatment of hyperten－ sion，leukorrhagia and bruises．${ }^{1}$ The effects of stimulat－ ing the proliferation of cultured osteoblasts in vitro of EtOAc extract of the roots of C．sinica and some oligos－
tilbenes were reported previously．${ }^{2}$ Three new resvera－ trol tetramers，carasinols $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3})$（Figure 1）were isolated from the roots of C．sinica together with three known resveratrol oligomers，leachianol $\mathrm{C},{ }^{3}$ cararosinol $A^{4}$ and stenophyllol B．${ }^{5}$ The isolated compounds were tested for the activity of stimulating the growth of cul－ tured osteoblasts．
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Figure 1 Structures of carasinols A－C（1－3）．

[^0]
## Results and discussion

Carasinol A (1) was obtained as a brown amorphous powder. Its molecular formula as $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ was established by HR-FABMS. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum exhibited signals of four sets of ortho-coupled aromatic protons assigned to four 4-hydroxyphenyl groups, one $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ system revealing the presence of a 3,5-dihydroxyphenyl group, two sets of meta-coupled aromatic protons assigned to two 3,5-dihydroxy-1,2-disubstituted phenyl groups, one singlet of a pentasubstituted benzene ring, two aliphatic protons on one dihydrobenzofuran ring [ $\delta$ $5.84,4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, each 1 H$)$ ] and six other aliphatic methine protons. These disclosed that $\mathbf{1}$ is a resveratrol tetramer with one dihydrofuran unit and one aliphatic hydroxyl moiety at $\mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{c})$ according to $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 78.8$. The planar structure was determined by HMBC spectrum (Figure 2). Significant correlations between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d})$ / $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{c})$ indicated the presence of a five-membered ring $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$. Correlations between $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$, revealed the presence of a seven-membered ring $\left(B_{3}\right)$. $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~b})$ was connected with $\mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{c})$ regarding the correlations between $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{C}(13 \mathrm{c})$. Distinct NOEs between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{H}[10(14) \mathrm{d}], \quad \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{d}]$, $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{H}[10(14) \mathrm{d}]$ showed that $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d})$ was trans to $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d})$, $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c})$; NOEs between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{a}]$ revealed $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a})$ were trans. NOEs between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{b}]$ indicated $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b})$ were trans. The seven-membered ring is similar to the seven-membered ring in Hopeaphenol. ${ }^{6}$ So the relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1}$ was determined as shown in Figure 3.


Figure 2 Significant HMBC correlations of 1.
Carasinol B (2), a pale yellow amorphous powder, had the molecular formula as $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ given by HR-FABMS. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated the presence of four 4-hydroxyphenyl groups, two 3,5-dihydroxyphenyl groups and two 3,5-dihydroxy-1,2-disubstituted phenyl groups. In the aliphatic region, four protons on two dihydrobenzofuran rings $[\delta 5.08,4.05$ (d, $J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, each 1 H$) ; \delta 4.84,3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, each $1 \mathrm{H})$ ] and four successive protons of a tetrasubstituted
tetra-hydrofuran were observed. The significant HMBC correlation (Figure 4) revealed the planar structure of 2 was the same as kobophenol A. ${ }^{7}$ A significant NOE between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{H}[10(14) \mathrm{a}], \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{a}]$ indicated $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a})$ and $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a})$ were trans; NOEs between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~b})$ / $\mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{b}]$ indicated the two methine protons on the ring $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ were trans. On ring $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, distinct NOEs between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d}), \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{H}(14 \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{H}-$ [10(14)d], H(8c)/H[2(6)c], H(7d)/H[10(14)d], H(8d)/H[2(6)d] revealed $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{c})$ and $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d})$ were trans to $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c})$ and $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d})$. NOEs between $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b})$ and $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c})$ gave evidence for the molecular stereochemistry determination as shown in Figure 5. So, the only difference between 2 and kobophenol A is the relative spacial tendency of $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{c})$.


Figure 3 Significant NOEs of 1.


Figure 4 Significant HMBC correlations of 2.
The molecular formula of Carasinol C (3), a yellow amorphous powder, was assigned as $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ by HR-FABMS indicating a resveratrol tetramer. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed the presence of four 4-hydroxyphenyl groups, one 3,5-dihydroxyphenyl group, one 3,5-dihydroxy-1,2-disubstituted benzene ring and two pentasubstituted benzene rings. In the aliphatic region, two mutually coupled protons were assigned to one dihydrobenzofuran moiety $[\delta 5.87,4.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7$ Hz , each 1 H$)$ ] and there were other six aliphatic protons. In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 6), correlations between


Figure 5 Significant NOEs of $\mathbf{2}$


Figure 6 Significant HMBC correlations of 3.
$\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ indicated the presence of a seven-membered ring $\left(B_{3}\right)$. Another seven-membered ring $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ could be inferred from the correlations between $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{c})$. Correlations between $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{~d}), \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{c})$, $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{C}(14 \mathrm{c})$ showed the presence of a five-membered ring $\left(D_{3}\right)$. Distinct NOEs between $H(7 a) / H(14 a)$, $\mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{a}] / \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a})$ indicated that two methine protons $[\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a})]$ on the dihydrobenzofuran ring were trans. NOEs between H[2(6)b]/H(8a), H(8b)/H[2(6)b], $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{d}], \mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d}) / \mathrm{H}[10(14) \mathrm{d}], \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c}) /$ $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{d}], \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}) / \mathrm{H}[2(6) \mathrm{c}]$ revealed $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a})$, $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~b}), \mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{c})$ and $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~d})$ were cis. In view of the above observations, the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ was deduced as shown in Figure 7.

## Experimental

## General procedure

All UV spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-240 spectrophotometer. The IR spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer 783 ( KBr ) spectrophotometer. The optical rotations were determined using a Jasco P-1020 polarimeter in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. HR-FABMS data were obtained on a VG AutoSpec 3000 mass spectrometer. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker $\mathrm{AM} \times 400$ instrument.


Figure 7 Significant NOEs of 3.

## Plant material

The roots of C. sinica (Buc'hoz) Rehd. were collected in August, 1999 from Zhongxiang County, Hubei Province, China and identified by Professor Feng Zhi-Jian, Department of Biology, Shanghai, East China Normal University. A voucher specimen (No. 20917) has been deposited in the herbarium of Institute of Botany, Jiangsu Province and Chinese Academy of Sciences.

## Extraction and isolation

The dried and powdered roots ( $100 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{were} \mathrm{macer-}$ ated with $90 \%$ ethanol at room temperature. The concentrated residue ( 7.8 kg ) was precipitated in water and filtrated. The filtrated solvent was concentrated and applied to macroporous resin washed with water and ethanol successively. The ethanol fraction ( 360 g ) was partitioned between water and EtOAc, $n$-butanol. The n-butanol fraction ( 187 g ) was subjected to silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ mixture of increasing polarity. Fr. 4 $(31.0 \mathrm{~g})$, after being subjected to a silica gel column eluted with petroleum-EtOAc $(1: 4)$ and another one with cyclohexane-acetone ( $1: 1$ ), gave stenophyllol B $(52 \mathrm{mg})$. Fr. $6(8.5 \mathrm{~g})$, after column chromatography on silica gel with cyclohexane-acetone $(1: 1.2)$ and then YWG-C18 eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 3)$, yielded 1 ( 58 mg ), $2(65 \mathrm{mg})$ and cararosinol A ( 63 mg ). Fr. 7 $(20.2 \mathrm{~g})$ gave $3(46.5 \mathrm{mg})$ and leachianol C ( 27 mg ) after passage over an Sephadex LH20 column eluted with acetone and silica gel, eluted with petroleum-EtOAc (1 $: 5)$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ - $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1: 4)$.

Carasinol A (1) Brown amorphous powder, m.p. $>240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-133.4$ (c 1.509, MeOH); UV $(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }: 283(\log \varepsilon 4.2) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1; IR (KBr) $v: 3380,1608$, 1512, 1440, 1340, 1222, 1181, 1128, 1005, $832 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{13} 923.2704[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, found 923.2706.

Carasinol B (2) Pale yellow amorphous powder, m.p. $>240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+181.7(c 2.258, \mathrm{MeOH})$; UV $(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}: 284(\log \varepsilon 4.2) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1; IR (KBr) v: 3380, 610, 1516,

1449, 1240, 1167, 1122, 1000, $830 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{13} 923.2704[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, found 923.2662 .

Carasinol C (3) Yellow amorphous powder, m.p. $>240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-91.3$ (c 1.013, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}: 284(\log \varepsilon 4.4) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are shown in Table 1; IR (KBr) v: 3417, 1613, 1512, 1455, 1344, 1234, 1166, $834 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRFABMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{12} 905.2598[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, found 905.2627.

## Stimulating the growth of osteoblasts

The method of MTT ${ }^{8}$ was used to observe the activity of stimulating the growth of osteoblasts. It was found that carasinol A-C (1-3), cararosinol A and leachianol C had the effects of stimulating the proliferation of cultured osteoblasts (the reproduction rate of osteoblasts was raised $22.8 \%, 10.0 \%, 26.5 \%, 17.5 \%$ and $19.8 \%$ respectively at $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ than that of the controlled group).

Table $1 \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ) spectral data of compounds $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ in acetone- $d_{6}{ }^{a}$

| Site | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ |
| 1a |  | 131.1 |  | 134.3 |  | 131.1 |
| 2(6)a | 7.08 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 129.8 | 6.72 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 127.8 | 7.24 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 130.1 |
| 3(5)a | 6.74 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 115.9 | 6.51 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $116.0^{a}$ | 6.78 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 116.1 |
| 4a |  | 158.4 |  | 158.1 |  | 158.6 |
| 7 a | 5.84 (d, $J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 87.9 | 5.08 (d, $J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 94.8 | 5.87 (d, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 88.1 |
| 8 a | 4.29 (d, $J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 49.5 | 4.05 (d, $J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 57.0 | 4.81 (d, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 50.9 |
| 9 a |  | 143.0 |  | 148.3 |  | 143.4 |
| 10a |  | 120.7 | 5.74 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 106.7 |  | 118.9 |
| 11a |  | $157.6^{a}$ |  | 159.5 |  | 158.2 |
| 12a | 6.37 (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 102.1 | 5.90 (t, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 102.3 | $6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 102.5 |
| 13a |  | $157.7^{\text {b }}$ |  | 157.7 |  | 158.8 |
| 14a | 6.34 (brs) | 105.6 | 5.74 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 106.7 | 6.42 (brs) | 106.7 |
| 1b |  | 135.8 |  | 133.5 |  | 135.6 |
| 2(6)b | 7.07 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 128.5 | 6.19 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 128.4 | 7.39 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 129.1 |
| 3(5)b | 6.64 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 115.6 | 6.47 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | $116.2^{b}$ | 6.70 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $115.7^{a}$ |
| 4b |  | 156.1 |  | 157.6 |  | 156.5 |
| 7 b | 5.27 (brs) | 42.8 | 4.84 (d, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 94.0 | 5.73 (d, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 40.0 |
| 8 b | 6.50 (brs) | 41.7 | 3.60 (d, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 51.7 | 5.97 (d, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 46.2 |
| 9 b |  | 140.7 |  | 144.4 |  | 140.8 |
| 10b |  | 119.2 |  | 120.9 |  | 114.9 |
| 11b |  | 160.4 |  | 163.0 |  | 157.9 |
| 12b | 6.13 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 96.4 | 6.42 (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 96.4 | 6.08 (s) | 96.4 |
| 13b |  | 159.0 |  | 161.1 |  | 157.7 |
| 14b | 7.02 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 110.9 | 6.03 (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 107.4 |  | 121.7 |
| 1 c |  | 137.1 |  | 136.3 |  | 138.9 |
| 2(6)c | 6.71 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 129.3 | 6.79 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 127.3 | 7.08 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 131.0 |
| 3(5)c | 6.60 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 115.5 | 6.79 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $116.2^{\text {c }}$ | 6.60 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 115.1 |
| 4 c |  | 157.3 |  | 157.3 |  | 155.7 |


|  |  |  |  |  | Continued |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
|  | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ |
| 7 c | 4.49 (d, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 78.8 | 5.25 (brs) | 86.9 | 4.33 (d, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 50.9 |
| 8 c | 3.75 (d, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 60.8 | 3.35 (dd, $J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 56.8 | 4.02 (d, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 62.3 |
| 9 c |  | 149.0 |  | 140.0 |  | 149.2 |
| 10c |  | 122.4 |  | 123.7 |  | 120.7 |
| 11c |  | 153.8 |  | 161.7 |  | 155.1 |
| 12c | 6.00 (s) | 103.7 | 6.12 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 96.1 | 6.02 (s) | 104.0 |
| 13c |  | 157.8 |  | 159.9 |  | 153.9 |
| 14 c |  | 121.9 | 6.73 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 108.4 |  | 121.8 |
| 1 d |  | 137.5 |  | 131.9 |  | 138.6 |
| 2(6)d | 7.02 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 129.5 | 7.16 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 130.1 | 6.84 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 129.0 |
| $3(5) \mathrm{d}$ | 6.81 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 115.5 | 6.71 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $116.5^{\text {d }}$ | 6.69 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $115.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 4 d |  | 156.3 |  | 158.2 |  | 156.2 |
| 7d | 4.24 (s) | 55.1 | 5.26 ( d, $J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 86.1 | 4.42 (s) | 57.3 |
| 8 d | 2.97 (s) | 59.5 | 3.10 (dd, $J=11.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 55.8 | 3.22 (s) | 62.0 |
| 9 d |  | 151.4 |  | 139.6 |  | 152.5 |
| 10(14)d | 6.03 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 105.8 | 5.49 (d, J=2.1 Hz) | 109.3 | 5.95 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 105.1 |
| 11(13)d |  | 158.9 |  | 158.5 |  | 159.2 |
| 12d | 6.00 (t, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 100.9 | 6.13 (t, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 103.3 | 6.06 (t, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 101.0 |
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